

1. THE INSTRUCTOR									
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count	
The instructor demonstrated a broad understanding of course material.	50.0% (5)	40.0% (4)	0.0% (0)	10.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.30	10	
The instructor treated students in a professional manner.	70.0% (7)	30.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.70	10	
The instructor was prepared for each session.	50.0% (5)	40.0% (4)	10.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.40	10	
The instructor used class/instructional time effectively.	40.0% (4)	40.0% (4)	10.0% (1)	10.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.10	10	
The instructor started and ended class on time.	60.0% (6)	40.0% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.60	10	
The instructor was available during his/her office hours.	60.0% (6)	20.0% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	20.0% (2)	4.75	10	
The instructor communicated the subject matter in a logical, organized manner.	40.0% (4)	50.0% (5)	0.0% (0)	10.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.20	10	
The instructor encouraged and responded to student questions.	70.0% (7)	30.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.70	10	
The instructor adjusted the pace according to student comprehension of the material.	70.0% (7)	20.0% (2)	10.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.60	10	
The instructor's methods facilitated my learning.	50.0% (5)	40.0% (4)	0.0% (0)	10.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.30	10	
The instructor encouraged student participation.	70.0% (7)	30.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.70	10	
The instructor gave timely feedback on assignments and tests.	60.0% (6)	40.0% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.60	10	
	answered question								10
	skipped question								0

2. THE COURSE								
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
The course objectives were attainable.	70.0% (7)	20.0% (2)	10.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.60	10
The course syllabus was reasonably followed.	40.0% (4)	50.0% (5)	10.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.30	10
The course content adequately reflected the course objectives.	50.0% (5)	40.0% (4)	0.0% (0)	10.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.30	10
The course material was presented at a reasonable level.	60.0% (6)	30.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	10.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.40	10
Learning outcomes were a helpful guide in studying.	50.0% (5)	30.0% (3)	10.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	10.0% (1)	4.44	10
The course text(s) were understandable and complimentary to the course objectives.	40.0% (4)	60.0% (6)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.40	10
Supplemental materials and assignments were relevant to the course objectives.	30.0% (3)	70.0% (7)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.30	10
Assignments were reasonable.	60.0% (6)	40.0% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.60	10
Exams, quizzes, and other means of evaluation were reasonable in length.	40.0% (4)	40.0% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	20.0% (2)	4.50	10
Exams, quizzes, and other means of evaluation were reasonable in difficulty.	40.0% (4)	40.0% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	20.0% (2)	4.50	10
Test material was consistent with learning outcomes.	30.0% (3)	30.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	40.0% (4)	4.50	10
	answered question							10
	skipped question							0

Did this course achieve the stated learning outcomes?

Please address the question above and elaborate on the strengths, weaknesses, and ineffective/effective teaching strategies used in the course, along with any additional items you would like to provide feedback on.

- The course thoroughly achieved 2/5 learning outcomes. Students read and analyzed a variety of different types of non fiction throughout the course and wrote nonfiction and have have work closely read and critiqued. After students wrote a paper we were placed in workshop groups but Martin never really discussed with the class critiquing and workshop skills. We explored various genres of writing by reading the textbook for homework and we did discuss the readings in class. Martin never went in depth of the content students were to be taught. The course persisted of whole group discussions which were often not on topic and didnt relate to the course material. The instructor rarely led the class discussions. I felt there wasn't a reason to attend class because I did not learn anything. Ms. Martin is a very nice person but needs to instruct the students of the content needed to be taught to achieve course outcomes by modeling to the students her knowledge of the material as well as numerous examples of what she expects of the students.
- This class was generally very effective. There isn't much more to be said.
- She changed the syllabus at least four times, which was fine, but it was a waste of paper. This class might be a good option for blackboard so we could put the readings on there instead of wasting 30 pages per reading. I'm rather read them off my computer than kill a bunch of trees.
- I believe that this course achieved the stated learning outcomes, because it helped me to really understand creative non-fiction essay writing, and really sharpened my skills as a writer, while broadening my writing topics.
- Yes, I believe this course achieved the learning outcomes. I really enjoyed this class and liked the assignments we were given. At times I felt like there was a lot of readings for this type of class. I didn't always understand the readings. Overall I really liked this teacher. She helped me to understand nonfiction and creative writing very well.
- I have become a better writer because of this course. I have regained my love for reading and writing because of Professor Martin.